

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 1999      LB 476

anybody who's in this process could be held liable. And, Senator Janssen, could I ask you to answer just a couple questions about that. We're talking about Section 20 on page 22,...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Janssen, would you yield?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...where it does say that "Neither the state or any other political subdivision or any person employed by the state shall be criminally or civilly liable for acts committed by a licensee."

SENATOR JANSSEN: Um-huh.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And then it goes on and talks about the training courses, too, they can't be held liable for those. My question then to you, and I guess my only question is, is this unusual to put into a bill? Can the state generally be held liable for any kinds of acts of its employees or subdivisions?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Well, not...Senator Schimek, I don't know about anything else, but if it would be...if it is in...stated...so stated in the statute, they would not be held liable, nor would any individual offering the course be held liable for not training that person correctly, if that's what you're asking.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, I think it is. And I'm just trying to remember from some other discussions we've had about high speed chases, those kinds of things, what we've done in the case of the state...protecting the state and political subdivisions in other cases, whether there's a precedent for this kind of language in statute? And I think maybe there is, but I guess I'd like to know a little bit more about that. So that's all my questions. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Schimek. For discussion on the Chambers amendment, Senator Tyson.