

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

May 1, 1997

LB 752

visitation is required, that doesn't take away the individual's duty to pay child support. Well, why is the federal government then...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...going to say and expect us to accept it that if we don't pass this legislation they're going to take money away from these poor children? They should make the money available to these poor children whether we, as a Legislature, do anything or not by way of knuckling under to an unjust law. There is no rationality in this at all. This is one of those issues that you will find politicians baying after like blood hounds on the scent, because it's a hot political button, and everybody wants to make it seem like they're doing something in an area where there has been overkill already. They cannot even enforce all the laws currently on the books because there's too many, and in some cases they conflict.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, this whole thing makes me think of a song, and it went...Senator Tyson might remember some of these words: "Another bride, another groom. Another sunny honeymoon. Another season, another reason, for making whoopee." Well things go along like they do in these affairs, and Senator Crosby kind of sketched it out where they have a \$10,000 wedding this year and two years later they're in divorce court. Well the song reaches a point where it says...now he's supposed to be paying child support. Senator Janssen, you looked up like you have some experience in this or you know somebody who has. "He doesn't make much money. Only 5,000 per. Some judge who thinks he's funny says you pays six to her. I say, well judge, suppose I fail? The judge says, Ernie, Ernie, right on into jail. You better keep her. I think it's cheaper, than making whoopee." We're not talking about whoopee now, we're talking about a person who is not with the custodial parent. Maybe there had not even been a marriage. This bill does not require a marriage to have taken place. All it requires is that children have been produced. And my belief is that however and whatever the circumstances that lead to a child being here, the parents have