

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 12, 1997 LB 422

this particular provision is going to be an aggravating circumstance that can lead to the death penalty. So first of all, my amendment would say that it would be a public servant having lawful custody of the offender or another. When you say "offender", we know that you're talking about those who might be incarcerated since you're not talking about law enforcement officers anymore. And you would say, in the lawful performance of his or her official duties, and the offender knew or should have know from the objective circumstances existing at the time and place that the murder was committed, that the victim was a public servant performing his or her official duties. This amendment would have to have added to it the words "or another" after "offender" so that it would track the language that exists in this particular aggravating circumstance. In my opinion, once you remove "law enforcement officer" from this subsection, you ought to just strike the rest of it. Is Senator Bruning still here? Oh, I see Senator Matzke. Senator Matzke, come out from under the balcony and the shadows and let me engage you in discussion, if I may.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute left, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Matzke, would you be willing to strike the words...and forget the way my amendment is drafted right now...and we're going to run out of time, so you can look at it "or another" in line 24. So you have time to look at it because I'm out of time at this point.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, your light is the next on.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. With Senator Will here, I may be able to get him to get me some additional time so I can continue the discussion, but I want to ask Senator Matzke, if he's had a chance to look at it, a question or two about this particular section. Senator Matzke, the first thing I want to do is mention and confirm that your bill, as you offered it, does strike language from this particular subsection, (1)(g). And the language being stricken is "law enforcement officer or a", do you agree?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Matzke.