

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 1997 LB 248, 248A, 249

members. LB 248A is needed because of the committee amendments which require the transfer of \$250,000 annually from the Charitable Gaming Operations Fund to the Compulsive Gamblers Assistance Fund each of the next two years. The Charitable Gaming Operations Fund is funded through state taxes on bingo, keno, lotteries, and raffles, and is used to fund the operations of the Charitable Gaming, a division of the Department of Revenue. Funds not used in operating the Charitable Gaming Division lapse into the General Fund. The funds appropriated by LB 248A would otherwise lapse into the General Fund. So I would move for the A bill to follow LB 248. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any discussion on the advancement of LB 248A? Seeing none, Senator Schellpeper waives closing. The question before you is the advancement of LB 248A. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the advancement of 248A.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 248A advances. LB 249.

CLERK: (LB) 249, Madam President, a bill introduced by the General Affairs Committee and signed by its members. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 13 of this year, at that time referred to General Affairs. The bill was advanced to General File. The bill was considered with committee amendments being adopted on March 3 of this year. I do have other amendments pending, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Schellpeper, will you just give us a brief reminder of LB 249.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, Madam Chairman and members. LB 249 is a bill that came from the committee. It brings the Liquor Control Commission into...it puts the...it would put into statute what they are currently doing as far as suspending license and how they handle liquor laws in this state. Over the past several years we've tried to, in fact we did pass legislation that would change the way that they do implement the laws as far as liquor licenses. And the Supreme Court has