

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 19, 1997 LB 229, 404

recreated by an amendment to a bill, I think, Senator Beutler, it was your bill, it was my amendment; that was in 1991 or 1992. But anyway, that doesn't...that isn't the central point here. Senator Beutler says that these have been very difficult issues in the Legislature before. That's true. And in part they've been difficult issues because we didn't have the proper analytical base for making any valid, unpolitical decisions, unpolitical decisions about where judges ought to go. We now have much better data available. And later today I'm probably going to be criticizing some of that data, but it is much, much better data available and we can make much better unpolitical decisions about where to allocate judicial resources. And I think the Judicial Resources Commission, for the most part, has done a good job. When we get to LB 404, which we probably will today, you'll see that there's a recommendation from the Judicial Resources Commission that we remove judges, a district judge and a county judge, in two different districts, and that we increase the number of judges in other districts. The removal of judges has always been very controversial, as Senator Beutler indicates. However, you ought to be aware, before we go any further in this discussion, that the bill that was submitted, LB 404, has the full support of the Bar Association. I'm not aware that, except for the senators in the districts where...that will be impacted whether there will be any significant debate because we recognize that the Judicial Resources Commission has done its job and that we do have a better basis for making, essentially, unpolitical decisions about the allocation of judicial resources. So the climate that I thought Senator Beutler was describing to you, I believe, has changed. I also again would reiterate that I believe that Senator Beutler's assumption about how the Judicial Resources Commission would treat a lack of activity on the part of the Legislature is somewhat inaccurate in that the Judicial Resources Commission is composed of people whom I think are astute enough to realize that a failure to act, because of a short session or a particular political objection, if that would occur in a session, doesn't necessarily mean that there has been a valid criticism of their decision. Now, on the other hand, if there have been valid criticisms of their decision made and they need to rethink it, they need to have the opportunity to do that. And in some ways I see Senator Beutler's amendment impeding that, and therefore really would suggest that we not