

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 4, 1997 LB 106

about what the nature of our work is. We enact laws that govern the lives and activities of everybody in this state. We do have a limited number of days, but for my part, whether we had 90 days, 60 days, or 300 days, some issues would be brought up which would seem to somebody to be trivial. Time will be spent in a way that some people will think was a waste. So, there is never going to be unanimity on the part of the members of the Legislature by what we do. But I'm certain it would be difficult to rank this kind of proposed legislation with the constitutional amendments that we have yet to discuss. The question of financing education, whether or not local political subdivisions are going to be given some additional financial assistance from the state, or whether the state is going to tell them and the schools, take what you've got, do what you can, because the cupboard is bare. You're not getting any state money. Senator Coordsen, I was given a questionnaire from the World-Herald and I don't fill out questionnaires or surveys, and they had asked on there something about where replacement revenue would come from in view of legislation enacted last session. I started to send it in, but I changed my mind, but the answer I wrote for that one was, pennies from heaven. That's where the replacement revenue will come from. The kinds of questions that are put to us sometimes show no thought, nothing in the way of understanding the seriousness of the issues that we face. So, we get no-brainer questions on no-brainer questionnaires, but what can you expect when those people sit out there and see some of the things we're willing to give our official time to? When we are confronted by the media, we often are dealing with people who don't know the issues, they don't have to know the issues, the aim of the media now is to make money, so they look for the sensational, the cheap, the easy stories. They will try to find something which is sensational or which can easily be sensationalized, something that they hope will tug the heart strings, but something which requires no journalistic skill. There have been reporters in the past lurking and skulking around here who really tried to live up to the ethics, principals, and standards of quality journalism. But they would take the time to do some research or at least acquaint themselves with the issues, write these stories, then editors would butcher them. They rearrange material. They cut material out. And the reason they do it is because they're only dealing with the Legislature and there