

March 20, 1996

LB 299, 323A, 700A, 1050, 1114, 1119, 1189

Kristensen has LB 323A. (Read first time by title.) Senator Schrock, amendments to LB 1050; Senator Bohlke to LB 1119; Senator Vrtiska to LB 299; Senator Wickersham to LB 700A; Senator McKenzie to LB 299; Senator Withem to LB 299; and Senator Vrtiska to LB 1114. (See pages 1355-66 of the Legislative Journal.)

Madam President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Chambers. Senator, your amendment is on page 1276 of the Journal. (FA546)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Chambers.

CLERK: Page 1, strikes lines 15 through 20, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, this is one of those ticklish issues for some, and it will be like a pin prick for others. These lines that I want to eliminate... Let me make sure I'm on the right amendment, before I say further. Mr. Clerk, what page did you say that was on? We're dealing with the committee amendment rather than the bill itself, right?

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

CLERK: Your amendment is, page 1, strike lines 15 to 20 of the committee amendments.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. Now I'm up to speed. And, yes, it is going to be like a pin prick to some people, and an irritant to others. This relates to that so-called Constitutional Revision Commission, that sham, that hoax, that thing which never should have been allowed to remain viable. What this amendment does, that I'm trying to strike, is to make \$14,500 available at least twice, if I read correctly. When they first passed the bill that created this commission, there was no A bill that would appropriate money for these expenses, whatever they are. So I'm just going to use the term "expenses" for ease of reference. It might relate to travel, copying and other types of things which those who may oppose what I'm trying to do will explain to you in more detail. The whole thing, because of the way it has developed, is almost like one of those things that will make a