

that positive vote we wouldn't have the Jensen amendment that's before us now. Given that there were certainly some aspects of the Jensen amendment that we adopted this morning that would, I believe, cause some problems within some of the service delivery being provided currently by the state. And I believe taking that language out and putting in the intent of Senator Jensen's amendment is the right way to go and would urge the body to adopt the Jensen amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President. Will Senator Wesely would yield to a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Wesely, I was cruising along just fine. I was...a tear came to my eye when I saw how choked up Senator Jensen was, and I appreciate the emotion he has on this issue. But then you derailed me a little bit when you, at the closing of your support of the Jensen amendment you said you hope that we would keep those words in mind when we consider the reconsideration. And I guess what I'm asking you is, is this basically a reconsideration because I'm not sure, A, if it is, Senator Jensen can't offer it because he voted in favor of the...he could offer it but have to be in that motion. And, B, if it's just strike, is it striking the entire amendment and putting in the same amendment exactly with just a couple of changes or...?

SENATOR WESELY: Oh, no. I'm sorry.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So where are we there.

SENATOR WESELY: And I apologize. We're running copies of this...here they are, and it does strike the Jensen amendment and this becomes a Jensen amendment, that's what being proposed.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: And it's...but it does strike...I was trying to listen...

SENATOR WESELY: Right.