

CLERK: Yes, sir, Mr. President, Madam President, excuse me, Senator Chambers' amendment, page 8, line 20, page 8, line 20 after the word "body" strike all of the language through the first word "to" in line 21 and insert "for purposes of".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, I'm withdrawing that one, I'm sorry. I'm glad I had you read it because I have another one up there. So there may be some preceding that one unless I can substitute this one that I just brought for that one.

CLERK: It's, yours is the next one, Senator, so that's not necessary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Alright, then I'll just withdraw the one you were reading.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: It is so ordered.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend on page 3... (See FA527 on page 1155 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Clerk,...

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I found my copy now so I can spare you that also. I'll read it now. Madam President, members of the Legislature, the two pages that will be involved in this amendment are three and eight. What I'm going to do on one page is strike some language, then I'm going to put some language on the other page. What it deals with, before I tell you exactly where it is, is this idea of this group, the policy cabinet, not being a public body. The way the bill is written now, on page 3 it mentions that this policy cabinet is not to bring into existence a separate legal entity. Then on page 8 it speaks of the policy council not being a public body. So on one page it spoke of legal entity, on the other page, public body. And my feeling is that when we make two statements about the same object, we're making a different declaration, otherwise we wouldn't of had to call it two different things. So what the sponsors of this bill are interested in achieving is the exemption of the activities of this policy cabinet from the open meetings law. And I've worked with them, and my concern about giving any impression that this policy cabinet should be deemed