

February 28, 1996 LB 532, 969, 974

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you. There are no lights on. You've heard the motion. All those in favor say aye. Opposed nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Senator.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Senator, I'd move to advance LB 532 to E & R for engrossment.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Maurstad. You've heard the motion. All those in favor of advancing 532 to E & R for engrossment say aye. Opposed nay. The bill is advanced. Next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: LB 969, Senator, I have no amendments to the bill.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Mr. President, I'd move to advance LB 969 to E & R for engrossment.

SENATOR COORDSEN: You've heard the motion. All those in favor of advancing 969 to E & R for engrossment say aye. Opposed nay. The bill is advanced. Next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: LB 974. I have no E & R amendments. Senator Beutler would move to amend. (See AM3379 on page 963 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Coordsen, members of the Legislature, this amendment is more or less a technical amendment which is agreeable to Senator Schmitt. There's some antiquated language that refers to people who are living with dependents of U.S. armed services members, and that's always been interpreted to mean dependents, and it seemed to me that it should say dependents so we don't get in, ever get into any discussions about what that may mean beyond dependents. Motor Vehicles doesn't have a problem with it. Nobody has a problem with it. So I would suggest the adoption of that change in language.