

February 23, 1996 LB 584, 948, 949

of, even if it may, in fact, be a consensus amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Pirsch, you have three minutes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes, I would ask that you pass over LB 948.

SENATOR CROSBY: No objections, so ordered. The bill is passed over. We will continue with Final Reading, LB 949E. Mr. Clerk. We are under Final Reading and, members, please take your seats. We're back to Final Reading. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read LB 949 on Final Reading.)

SENATOR CROSBY: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with the question is, shall LB 949, with the emergency clause attached, pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed no. Record please.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 889-90 of the Legislative Journal.) 39 ayes, 0 nays, 1 present and not voting, 9 excused and not voting, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: LB 949E passes. LB 584.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Coordsen would move to return the bill for a specific amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. My motion is not to amend the bill, but rather to have an opportunity to visit with you just a little bit about why I'm not proposing an amendment to this bill. If you recall the other time that 584 was on Final Reading, we removed a section relative to the fencing of trails that were put on railroad right-of-ways or potential of putting on railroad right-of-ways. Since the action of the Legislature at that point in time, I had the opportunity to visit with a representative of Game and Parks, they felt that there was no additional language, they felt that the language that is contained in 81-815.61 and 37-1507 were sufficient guidance for them to accomplish the purposes of law relative to the fencing or, in some cases, not fencing, depending upon the use of the railroad right-of-way that was under their control, and did not feel that there was