

January 11, 1996

put into the record our particular points of view, and for my part it doesn't matter whether anybody, at the time the discussion is underway, pays that much attention. The transcript that I'll bring back will bite them in the future and at that time they'll say, I didn't even hear that discussion, and they will be telling the truth. They will not have heard it because they were inattentive. But on the matter of suspending the rules in order to change how or in what order amendments will be taken, Senator Beutler, I have a question to ask you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let me ask Senator Bromm. Senator Bromm, your vocal cords should be rested by now. I want to ask you a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: A discussion was had relative to an attempt to override the chair; another, overrule the agenda as far as the order in which amendments will be taken. Hey! Okay, Senator Bromm, I'll just...

SENATOR BROMM: Could we...?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..talk louder.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let them do what they want to do and it's my responsibility to talk so that you can hear...

SENATOR BROMM: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..and I'm sorry that I was not speaking loud enough and when Senator Bromm put his hand up to his ear, it let me know he couldn't hear, so I was derelict in my duty because I know how inattentive and sometimes rude our colleagues can be. But, since I'm experienced in dealing with that, I just roll right on and you're not, so I'm going to talk louder. Now, we had a discussion earlier about overruling the Speaker's setting of the order in which amendments would be taken. Senator Bud Robinson, I believe, talked about suspending the rules. With the way this provision is drafted that Senator Bernard-Stevens