

legislation. They currently collect sales tax from Nebraska residents as they are required to do. Nothing is added there. Some have indicated they would have to submit a list of their purchasers where they collect the sales tax. That is not true. The bill does not provide that, and then I suppose, finally, the thing that annoys me most...

SENATOR HALL: Excuse me, Senator Warner. (Gavel) Members, please hold down their conversations. Go ahead, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I suppose the thing that annoys me most is that we will have a bill up on Final Reading that I introduced, along with others, LB 559, that exempts some income tax, nonstate sales under certain circumstances, and among those who have been very vocal in support of that, I continue to support that bill and will support it regardless about 136, but it is annoying when entities come in and ask for, what I would agree with is a fair tax policy, but then turn around and vocally oppose legislation, which is also a fair tax policy, nothing more than to collect what is already due. In view of the time, I will not pursue this long, but I do think that the bill ought to be enacted. Doesn't need to have the A bill. I have more to say on that later on another issue, but the necessity of enacting something so that a test case could be tried is what is essential and that is what I'd like to see happen. With that, I'd urge the body to give the votes to enact this so that we can have a court test and see whether or not this is a solution to a problem that has been plaguing the states for a good many years, including Nebraska, and one, in my opinion, will do more to resolve the issue than anything else, if not from this bill, but will be the motivation to get the various interests to support a national solution through Congress, which I think otherwise will not happen.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Abboud, your light is next.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, members, I rise in opposition to the override veto. I think we went through these issues when we originally took up the bill, LB 136, on Final Reading. The issues are still the same. I feel the bill is unconstitutional. I feel that the bill will cost Nebraska jobs, specifically telemarketing companies that currently are located in the State of Nebraska, employing Nebraskans, but working for companies that are domiciled outside the State of Nebraska. These