

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Madam Speaker, members, I would just like a point of clarification. Senator Chambers' motion...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, I want to know what his motion reads. It moves to take out \$25,000 for Curtis. Is that's the way it's worded?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Mr. Clerk.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: How...is the motion worded to take out so a yes would take out Curtis? Is that the way it's written?

CLERK: Senator Chambers' motion is to strike the Curtis provision so I believe that takes it out of your motion, Senator.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, I just wanted to be clear. I wasn't clear on what he did and I...

CLERK: That's your intent, I assume, Senator, is not...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: To strike Curtis which then if we had what's left, we would have the Beatrice issue standing alone, if you're motion would be successful. Okay. So a vote yes will strike out Curtis, so I wanted to be clear. So a vote no will leave Curtis in the mainline...main motion. And I would rise to oppose Senator Chambers' motion, feeling the reason, from my perspective, both of these are important, that's the reason they were together. It's not necessarily a strategic move on my part, it's...it's, as I've made my points earlier on Curtis, I believe that the study should remain. I think it's vital that it does. If we want to...we made a commitment several years ago to keep the school going. This moves it forward and it provides a vital school for particularly rural Nebraska but all Nebraskans because many...many go out there from Lincoln and Omaha and other towns and so I...I think it's critical that we move forward and show our support for this. And I urge your opposition to this motion.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Maurstad, to speak to the Chambers amendment.