

Governor, he is going to have to bring another what he calls anticrime bill, and this time he will have Senator Witek sponsor the bill for him, not Senator Abboud, not Senator Lindsay, but Senator Witek. Senator Lindsay has won this morning, but the only way that this could have been carried off was in the way that it was, and we all knew that, so we've reached the point which was foreordained when Senator Withem put this thing back on the agenda. Now it remains to be seen how the rest of the session will play out, but I do have a little bill that relates to placing a juvenile judge, and since this, 371, is going to abolish crime, we don't really need any additional juvenile judges, so I am going to do a little thinking about that bill, and I'm already doing some serious thinking on LB 189. If we are not having crime anymore, we don't need more judges. But such is life at this point, and we will see how the rest of the session does play out.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. I have great reservations about killing LB 371A, and for a couple of reasons; one, because I think we have done some good things here. I think we have done some significant things, and while I say that, I do remember the bill, and I think Senator Chambers made reference to it, that was introduced that said, indeed, if the A bill at the time of passage does not adequately cover the criminal justice statute that is passed, that it is considered null and void, and those are the words of the statute. Senator Lindsay, if you would yield please, I would like to ask you about that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Lindsay, do you remember when we passed that bill that said that if the appropriation was not even...was not accurate, it wasn't if it didn't have an appropriation, it was if the appropriation bill was not adequate to cover the expense of the criminal statute that that criminal statute would be considered null and void? Do you remember that?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I do.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Then how can you justify not having an