

it, but for my purposes I'm very unsure and would not support putting in the words "substantially compares with" because I don't want to start another standard under the law.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I didn't hear everything that Senator Kristensen said, but I heard the beginning of his presentation and I'm sure that I agree with it all because I agreed with that portion of it and usually people save the best for last so I missed the best part but I agree with the inferior part so I must agree with the best part also. But having said all that, I would point out that this language that Senator Witek is offering to us has absolutely no meaning whatsoever because it applies to every word in the catalog of terms preceding these words. So she wants us to put "substantially compares with". So we come to the printed name. It substantially compares with that. His name is John. He writes George. Each one only has one syllable so that substantially compares with. Street and number, you give roughly the number of your address, but you don't really give it correct. So your address is 2505 and you write 5502. Well you've got all the numbers in there, they're just in a different arrangement so it substantially compares with. You don't know the name of your street. You don't have to give the name of your city, just something that substantially compares with it. What substantially compares with the name of a city? And how are you going to know which of these things have to match and which substantially compare with? Well none of them have to match. The village, is it Quiet Village? Is it the Village of the Damned? Just what village is it? If it substantially compares with, that's good enough. You don't know your post office address. This is some of the most ridiculous language, with all due respect to Senator Bromm because early on we were during that time having some deep discussions and detailed discussions. But the language currently in the bill requiring these things to match was deliberately adopted. And for people signing these petitions who want to do all this yakkety-yakking about the interest of the public and having some effect on government and they don't even sign their name