

ignore what the big one did and let him keep his license and still revoke the license of the little one for the same thing. So if you have any questions I'm prepared to answer them, but I will state succinctly what the purpose of this amendment is now that I've said all of that. On page 34, the language is talking about a licensee violating the provisions of Sections 37-901 to 37-912. Without my language that violation does not have to be knowing and intentional. Mine puts that language in. Then on page 35, where we're talking about a misrepresentation in obtaining the license, that misrepresentation must be shown to be knowing and intentional before the license can be revoked. As I stated before I started I would go into a bit more detail so even if my colleagues didn't find it necessary to listen the record, I hope, is complete. I would want the Game and Parks Commission to take note of what the intent of this language is and if any of these operators feel that the Game and Parks Commission is not applying this language fairly then I am in a position where I feel obliged to come to the aid of anybody who feels they're being unfairly treated, even though they're engaged in an activity that I don't like. This activity is not illegal, but the law, when it applies, should apply equally to all who are similarly situated. So if you have any questions I'm prepared to answer them.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, Senator Chambers, the more we think about this the better this sounds, but just for the record the people in the controlled shooting areas that have hunters come every day, if one of them would accidentally not turn in the report for that day and the Game and Parks were missing the report for that day as to how many birds were released and how many birds were shot. I would assume that would be...they would be protected under this amendment because they didn't knowingly not turn the report in. It just may be the first person thought the other person was doing it and it didn't get done. Would you say that'd be a fair representation of...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yeah.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ..a violation that wasn't knowingly or...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, Senator Schrock, it would be fairly