

changing from no to yes. Senator Schmitt voting yes.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Please record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 2 nays to cease debate, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Debate ceases. The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to close on his bracket motion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, we are in a quandary of the kind that I enjoy because I can mock and taunt and scoff, but I won't do that yet because we still have some leeway and can correct some of these flaws. People, if they want to, can do like children and others who are supposed to be afraid when they pass a cemetery will whistle to make believe they're brave. You can whistle past the cemetery and pretend that nothing serious is wrong with this bill. I was going to withdraw the bracket motion because if it is not voted on then another bracket motion to a day certain could have been offered and it could have been much, much closer to today instead of in the future, but I'm going to go ahead and take a vote on it and I'm not going to cooperate with any attempt to just change some operative dates. See, we know some parts of this bill are bad so why put a date on these bad portions and say they don't take effect until in the future and maybe next year we'll do something when we can do something right now when we know what's wrong with it? If we're not going to do anything right now when it's clear in our minds that it's wrong and we've agreed, we're not going to do anything next year. But some of these bad provisions might be acted upon, and since that is what is going to happen, I'm not going to tolerate a situation where we just change an operative date. And if you all think you can stop me, I'm going to force John, Senator Lindsay, to invoke cloture and you all are going to have to pass this bad bill with the unconstitutional provisions in it. I'm not going to go along with anything that would simply try to pitch and patch here and there and not change by getting rid of these unconstitutional provisions, and you all could disagree with my analysis, with Senator Beutler's analysis, with Senator Matzke's and Senator Bromm's. You can disagree with it, but that is not going to make our analysis invalid. So I'm going to take a vote on the bracket motion. If you vote to bracket it, that doesn't mean that it has to stay bracketed until June 5th. The Speaker can put it back on the agenda tomorrow if he wants to. But here's what I'm saying so that it's clear and I will