

have to...if that money is there for that reason and then we don't give them that money and then those vacancies occur, they simply won't be able to fill them or whatever. I mean, it works several ways.

SENATOR CUDABACK: So we're actually cutting staff then or cutting back. We're not just cutting out the dollars that they did not use because of whatever, we're actually cutting...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: We're cutting back the dollars now. The thing is they might say put some staff on and find some other dollars and operations to fund that. That may likely happen. They may have to...one way or the other they're going to be short the equivalent amount of dollars that this amendment has.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I would not want them to have abil...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Pardon?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I would not want them to have the ability to...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...cut back four months salary and then spend those dollars if they knew that wouldn't be transferred over to this slush fund, or whatever you want to call it, then they would...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...spend it on chairs or furniture or whatever.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No, that...that would...I don't think that would happen, because they're going to be shorted the money. They're going to have less money by this much in next year's budget that they have now, that's the intent of the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: How about the next year?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, we're taking it back, this takes it out of the base.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Out of the base, so they will be short