

advancement of this bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: Any discussion on LB 805? Seeing none, Senator Hartnett. He waives closing. The question is the advancement of LB 805 to E & R Initial. All those in favor vote aye, opposed no. Record, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: The bill advances. LB 598.

ASSISTANT CLERK: LB 598 was introduced by Senator Lindsay. (Read title.) The bill was read for the first time January 18 of this year, referred to the Judiciary Committee. That committee reports the bill directly to General File.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam Chairman, members of the committee, members of the Legislature I should say. LB 598 is a bill which was introduced following a decision in the Court of Appeals, called State versus Lynn. What the bill would do is to clarify when the rendition of a judgement occurs. The issue is whether a notation, a docket entry or journal entry has to be signed by the judge. This clarifies that it does have to be signed. There will be an amendment coming up. Senator Witek worked, I believe, with the Attorney General's Office to clarify the language so the amendment that will be coming up will do the same thing that the bill as introduced would do, but would clarify it. So with that I think we'd move to the amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, Senator Lindsay would move to amend. His amendment is found on page 1443.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Witek, on your amendment. Sorry, Senator Lindsay, beg your pardon.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Senator Crosby. The amendment, as I mentioned, Senator Witek did run this by the Attorney General's Office. They did suggest some changes to it. Basically what the change is is it changes the language where it occurs in the paragraph to make sure that it doesn't conflict