

April 4, 1995

LB 337
LR 6

questions to ask Senator Beutler.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beutler, if you'd respond.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Beutler, is this the same amendment that you introduced as an amendment to 33...LB 337?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I think that we discussed earlier an amendment to 337. Is that what you're speaking of?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I'm asking if this is the amendment that you introduced...didn't you introduce an amendment at least similar to this on LB 337?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I'm trying to remember what I filed and what I haven't filed. But, yes, I mean...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: So am I. (Laugh.)

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...I have considered...this could be an amendment to 337. The problem with 337 is that it doesn't go to the constitution, it goes only to the statute. Now, if we were to adopt one of Senator Withem's amendments, I'd feel completely comfortable with this as an amendment to 337. However, if we're not going to do that, I think it is wiser to make it clear in the constitution that the filing requirement be nine months.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, Senator Beutler, do we take...we didn't take a vote on that on 337?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, I don't think so. Well, I take that back, you may have taken a vote on it, on 337. I had it in a different form, Senator, it wasn't changing the dates at that particular point in time. Yeah, and I think we pulled the amendment in any event.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, Senator Beutler, I think it is a fairly substantive idea. And I guess I have a problem with it at this point in time. I mean I think that it will...I think that it will do harm to the bill if it is adopted. I mean I'm not necessarily saying that I disagree with what you're trying to do, I mean I may. I haven't seen the amendment. I don't know the particulars of it yet. It's pretty hard to say 1411. I guess it is on our desks now? It says, strike beginning with