

March 24, 1995            LB 182, 182A, 420, 452

SENATOR HALL: LB 420 passes. LB 452, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read LB 452 on Final Reading.)

SENATOR HALL: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 452 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 1308-09 of the Legislative Journal.) 37 ayes, 1 nay, 1 present and not voting, 10 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

SENATOR HALL: LB 452 passes. LB 182. Motion on the desk, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to suspend the two-day Final Reading rule, specifically Rule 6, Section 7(b), to permit consideration of LB 182 and LB 182A on Final Reading today.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Warner, on the motion.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the motion actually refers to LB 182A because 182, itself, has been on General File for some time, but the A bill was following along. It's Cash Fund, Highway Fund, so it's not a General Fund appropriation. The reason, however, for having it read today is because of the one provision that was in 182 itself, which, obviously, cannot be read unless we could also read LB 182A. But you will recall there was an amendment added which permitted a refund on the part of the Secretary of State for corporate occupation tax that were overpaid since January 1. You probably recall that prior to January when notices were sent out on those corporate occupation tax the wrong numbers were used, numbers were used that were a year old and had been reduced. And so there was a number of people that had overpaid up through now and there was no statutory provision for the Secretary of State to refund those overpayments. And what that section did, and they are anxious to be able to do it, is permits them to make refunds on those overpayments on that corporate tax. And so that is the reason that the...otherwise it could have laid there a while, but that was the reason for the rule suspensicn on the A bill and in order to read LB 182, itself, today, I would ask that the motion be adopted.