

additional funds available for increased spending next session. Not counting those two items, if we give thought to a number of things as we have talked over the last few weeks and months, is the possible impact the state will have from Gramm and Rudman, the possible impact of the fact that we have about \$9 million of one-time money in this year's revenue side, the possible impact, well, that we know there will be a deficit for special education of some amount because that's the way the law works. It probably will be in the vicinity of 3 to 4 million and there are those who feel even with the passage of 1258 today which did reduce the appropriation and hopefully the requirement as things now stand, reimbursement for the homestead by 5.7 million. There are those who do feel that we can probably anticipate a deficit notwithstanding the benefit of 1258 next year and the fact that, the possibility...well, we know local budgets going up would count for part of it. Part of it would account for the shift that we know is going to occur from agriculture to nonagricultural real property as farm values continue to decline. Just those two factors alone will probably ensure some deficit. So there are so many factors involved that I see no concern as to the half cent sales tax commencing January 1 generating funds that could be...encourage spending next session. Secondly, I believe his letter indicated a concern about a special session. Yesterday, I did file motions to reconsider all the overrides be taken up in the reverse order they were adopted for the reason that that was the priority at least as recommended by the committee. Now, I am telling you that those motions are filed it's not for the purpose of discouraging you from overriding the veto or affecting your decision one way or the other. I merely am telling you so you should know they are there and if we cannot override, the motion would be made on each of those projects in the reverse as they were adopted and, of course, it would take 30 votes to reverse that on each one and if then that wasn't done, why, of course, then we, I suppose, would assume an override a second time. But again I want to go back as to what I said this morning. Even if you override the provisions of 1252 which we have not considered yet, and even if you override the advocacy commissions which there is a motion filed for reconsideration, we will end up with a 4 percent reserve, planned reserve, in this year's budget. The cash flow reserve will have about 22 million in it plus the interest to that fund will accrue to it rather than to the General Fund so there will be some build up and I think we have done reasonable and prudent planning on the revenue side while at the same time on the appropriations side we most certainly have not been exorbitant in our appropriations, but rather chose