

was a request made by them which we adopted without any contest.

SENATOR ERET: Okay, thank you, Senator Goll. Madam Chairman, I find this hard to understand why there would be such a large change, and unless I do find out a better, you know, explanation technically as to why such a large percentage of the officer force would be allowed to be nonuniformed, I will discontinue now but possibly consider an amendment on Select File to reduce that percentage. Thank you.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, a question for Senator Goll if he would yield, please. Senator Goll, does this Cash Fund have anything to do with the necessity of transferring funds to the Highway Patrol from the Liquor Control Fund for the purpose of paying liquor inspectors, or is it needed for that purpose at all?

SENATOR GOLL: No, none whatsoever.

SENATOR SCHMIT: How did you create the Cash Fund then to handle the liquor inspectors?

SENATOR GOLL: Senator Schmit, that was in the mainline appropriations bill and had nothing to do with this one. This one...this particular bill deals only with the motor vehicle fraud and deals with the funds generated through the title licensure law.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, I realize that is included on page 98 of LB 1251 (sic), but I was told earlier that the Legislature would have to create a Cash Fund because at the present time there is not a facility for the transfer of funds from the Liquor Control Commission to the highway...or to the State Patrol. Is that true or not true?

SENATOR GOLL: I believe...Senator Schmit, I believe you should address that question to our Chairman. I don't recall exactly. It does create a Cash Fund, but as far as LB 851 is concerned, that issue is not involved here.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Very well, thank you, Senator Goll. Senator Warner, is it necessary to create a Cash Fund for