

sense that if the bill is not passed and if someone...some county would want to contend that they were not to pay for services provided prior to June 30th of 1986, the potential impact would be \$1,100,000 that would have to be reimbursed with General...would have to be paid with General Fund money rather than the final payments that are designed by law to come from the counties.

SENATOR HABERMAN: In my folder, unfortunately, I don't have a fiscal note. Is there one with the bill?

SENATOR WARNER: No, because the fiscal note...there is, in fact, not a fiscal impact in terms of increased funding. It is a clarification of what has been the practice and what is thought...what has been deemed to be the statute but it is to make it perfectly clear that the reimbursement for services for Medicaid currently paid for...participated in by the counties will be for services provided through June 30th and even though the billing may be up to 60 days beyond June 30th for those services.

SENATOR HABERMAN: So, in other words, it doesn't have any possible potential future impact either then.

SENATOR WARNER: The potential future impact, Senator Haberman, would be one that in the event that there was a dispute over whether or not services provided or billing was the day, the potential would be for up to a \$1,100,000 additional General Fund cost if someone contended that the final payment which is June...that the final responsibilities that counties have is for payment rather than for when the services occurred, the bill makes it clear that it is for services provided prior to June 30th, regardless if the billing may be 60 days later.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay, thank you, Senator Warner, very much. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR MARSH: We are now ready and will vote on the advancement of LB 1253. Those in favor vote aye, opposed no. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? The Clerk will record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Madam President.