

February 24, 1986 LB 105

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I believe, Mr. Speaker and colleagues, when we last debated this, last year, we were discussing the committee amendments and amendments to the committee amendments. What I suggest we do at this time is go ahead and adopt the committee amendments. Then once that is done, Senator Johnson and I have a joint amendment that we have worked on which will replace the committee amendments and essentially change them in certain respects. But I think the quickest thing to do, procedurally, would be for us to go ahead and adopt the committee amendments at this time. So I would move the adoption of the committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: I have some lights on. Did you wish to talk to the committee amendments as Senator Hoagland suggests, Senator DeCamp? Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask if Senator Hoagland would yield to a question.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hoagland, will your amendment replace the committee amendment and, in effect, become the bill?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: It is intended to do that, Senator Chambers, if it is adopted. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would it replace all provisions in the committee amendment?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, it would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why don't you just move to reject the committee amendment, then move to adopt yours, because I have some objections to this, and probably will to your amendment, but we could probably save time to just take a quick vote and reject the committee amendments. Then all of the discussion could be on your amendment.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, I think it would be less confusing and quicker, Senator Chambers, if we just adopted the