

January 30, 1986 LB 869, 907

judgements with respect to whether or not they wish to carry professional negligence insurance coverage. To mandate the coverage by statute is an undue interference with the occupational calling. For that reason, I will support LB 869.

PRESIDENT: Any closing, Senators Pappas or Labeledz? The motion is to advance LB 869. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The bill advances. LB 907 is next.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body,...

PRESIDENT: Just a minute, let the Clerk introduce the bill, LB 907.

CLERK: Excuse me, Senator. Mr. President, LB 907 is by Senator DeCamp. (Read.) The bill was read on January 10 of this year, referred to Banking Committee, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, this bill was brought to the Banking Committee by the Banking Department. What it does is right now there is a 61-day waiting period before a bank, that fails or has trouble, can be taken over. It merely says that it can immediately be taken over, instead of having to wait those 60 days, because it can be done immediately many, many times. It can be saved and everything turns out fine. If you have to wait those 60 days, then it gets all snarled up in the courts and all this sort of thing. So the Banking Department thinks it is good. The committee put it out with no opposition, there wasn't any to the bill. I ask you to advance it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on LB 907? If not, the motion is to advance the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Please record your vote. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.