

substitute his previous amendment for a new amendment published on page 463, 462. Is there objection? If not, so ordered. Senator Hall, you may explain your amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President and members...

PRESIDENT: We will have order in the Chamber, please. (Gavel.) Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: You're receiving a handout that lists the current language of the bill as it reads and another handout that says new language. The first part of this amendment would simply, and I think both parts of this amendment are just basically a little cleanup. The first part, if you adopt this amendment, would make it, I think, cleaner, make it read a little better. All it does, if you look at it, on line 16, after "adoption" you insert an underscored comma and strike "including". If you read the current language, it seems a little clumsy. We have abortion including child birth and adoption and including. We don't need the second including in there. It makes no substantive change to the bill or the way that it reads. I think it makes it read very much in a more appropriate form. The second change included in this amendment, really we're still talking about the informed consent for abortion procedure and the requirement for disclosure thereof. I think what it does, is that it make it read more logically. The risks that are associated with the procedure should follow the procedure. The way the current language reads, we list the procedure, we list the agencies available and then, thirdly, we show the risks of the procedure. The second part of this change would put those three items in different order. It would show the procedure, the risks of those procedures and then the agencies that are available. I think they are just out of order a little bit. I look at it as basically good grammar and cleaning up the bill. If there are any questions, I would be glad to answer those. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Hall, I do have a question.

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: You have portrayed this as a good grammar amendment and I read it slightly differently and I want to