

a disagreement about...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Interpretation, perhaps, of...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Interpretation of the contract.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...the agreement that has already been...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, that's already in place.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...agreed upon.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So if they want to resolve that, characteristically they go to the district court to resolve that, not the CIR, but the district court and it is in those kinds of things where arbitration voluntarily entered into could be an alternative under this bill, under LB 520.

SENATOR PIRSCH: So you would have the arbitrators then interpreting what has already been agreed upon? Is that what you see the role of arbitration?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, I think that helps.

SENATOR BEUTLER: It's the difference between the original formation of the contract and...

SENATOR PIRSCH: The original formation.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...maintenance or interpretation of the contract.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And the carrying out or the interpretation then of what has been agreed upon.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, thank you very much.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp is next, but may I introduce