

January 9, 1986

still be the 8 bills that can be introduced by the committee and this will substantially reduce the amount of bills that will be dropped into the hopper or that will be heard by the different committees. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Does anyone wish to speak to the Abboud amendment? Okay. I'm going to pass over the names here on the list to take up...I would ask, on the Abboud amendment. Senator Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I will speak for just a couple of minutes to Senator Abboud's amendment. I know that there are others who are more eloquent on this subject than am I. I personally have always opposed individual bill limitation limits and the reason why I have opposed individual bill limitation limits is fairly simple. We are people of different personalities, temperaments and nature that is inherent in a 49 person legislative body. Some of us introduce a large number of bills because some of us seem to have a lot of different things we want to say through our bills or we have lots of different kinds of constituents who come to us and ask us to introduce their idea or whatever it is. And others of us are people who are maybe more judicious or more cautious or more prudent in the numbers of bills that we introduce. I personally have never favored a provision which would set a uniform appetite for us in terms of the numbers of bills that we each can carry. We ought to be able to introduce as many different bills on as many different ideas and as many different topics as each one of us chooses to introduce. I think that the limits, however, that we should impose upon ourselves really are limits as to the number of bills that any given committee, given its time frame, given its composition and given its duties shall consider. For that reason I oppose Senator Abboud's amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp, do you wish to speak to the Abboud amendment?

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, every couple of years, usually in an election year, the issue comes up about bill limitations and in the newspapers are articles and editorials about something has to be done to make that process better; there is a logjam of bills and there is some nonsensical bills; something must be done. And almost invariably something is done and almost invariably it proves