

\$6.5 million for the vet college. But I did vote to take this free money just to hold it, so they could save a little face. But on this issue we are playing a different ball game. If we pass this, you are going to spend the \$7 million, I can guarantee you that because they won't let this issue die. So what is starting out today as a \$65,000, small, little expenditure, you are going to end up spending \$7 million. Now I would say that we could wait a year, they can get along just fine. So my amendment takes out the \$65,000. They keep on operating the way they are, and the way I read the expenditure it reaffirms and authorizes \$65,000. So, Senator Warner, have they had additional monies on top of the 65,000, or is this the original seed money?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Do you have just one single question of whether this is the only money?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Haberman, there was 65,000 of the planning money in the current year's budget. With the anticipated 65,000 in the budget, we are just establishing a total of 130,000 to develop the necessary planning for what would be required for renovation of Morrill Hall.

SENATOR HABERMAN: One more question, please, Senator Warner, if you'd be so kind. If we didn't give them this 65,000 and decided to wait another year would this, in your opinion, destroy the program?

SENATOR WARNER: The logic of not doing that...of not doing it...

SENATOR HABERMAN: You are turning my question around the other way. Go ahead, I'll listen to you.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, the issue is, Senator Haberman, there is 65,000 being...is authorized and being used this year and it ought to be...I don't know what the damage or the additional cost would be if you gapped the completion of the planning for another year. Obviously there would be some increase. You don't have these kinds of contracts in effect and then say, well, we're going to skip a year and then pick