

An Attorney General's Opinion to Senator DeCamp. (Re: LB 208. See pages 2367-68 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: LB 633.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 633 on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall the bill pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 2369-70 of the Legislative Journal.) 44 ayes, 0 nays, 5 excused and not voting.

SPEAKER NICHOL: LB 633 passes. LB 606 with the emergency clause attached.

CLERK: Mr. President, 606, I have a motion from Senator Lynch to return the bill for a specific amendment. That amendment is on page 1704.

SENATOR LYNCH: I move to withdraw that amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp would move to return the bill. His amendment is on page 2107.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, just let me quickly recount the history of 606 so you understand why I am putting an amendment on. I think the amendment has been agreed to by the multitude of groups involved from county officials, I hope, to banks, PCAs, you name it. LB 606 is really a rather complex piece of legislation now dealing with the Uniform Commercial Code and the central filing system we set up, as well as the entire double jeopardy issue. We started out with I'm going to say maybe six or seven separate bills on changing the Uniform Commercial Code and implementing the central filing system and the central filing council, which you know we've talked about now for about two or three years here. Along the way we reached agreement on a number of the issues. Then, in a surprise happening, a very surprise happening, all of the groups that had been cutting each others' throats and heads off for years over the double jeopardy, and I use double jeopardy, so-called double