

to these industries. So we are putting the compromise type of an idea here, I refer to the compromise anyway, says that we are going to put the law back exactly like it was two years ago, which did have a grandfather clause that exempted people out that had some aboveground tanks, and they wouldn't be forced to put things underground because there were some real problems with it. To my knowledge, at this point, the industries involved do not have a problem living with the amendment. The State Fire Marshal does not have a problem living with the amendment. The volunteer fire fighters and the county attorneys involved say that having this law back on the books like it was will allow the present conditions to be enforceable. We are not changing what is in law or in regulation, but it would make it more enforceable. That is why the amendment has been offered. I offered to co-sponsor this amendment with Senator Marsh in an effort to try to solve the problem without hurting anybody. I believe we have done that. I would offer the motion to pull LB 383 back from Final Reading for the specific amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Hannibal. The motion is to return the bill to Select File for specific amendment. The Chair recognizes Senator Abboud, then Senator Vickers, then Senator Lamb.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I rise in opposition to Senator Marsh's amendment. This is virtually the same amendment that we heard on Select File. There has been one or two words changed, but it is essentially the same amendment. With the same amendment comes the same problems to attaching this particular amendment to LB 383. LB 383 deals with the distinct problem, opposite of what Senator Marsh's amendment is attempting to do. LB 383 deals distinctly and completely with hazardous substances and chemicals. The Marsh amendment deals only and exclusively with petroleum products. LB 383 deals only with aboveground storage tanks. Senator Marsh's amendment deals exclusively with belowground storage tanks. LB 383 is only interested in the notification provisions. It only notifies that the tanks, and what the chemicals are that are in these tanks do exist. The Marsh amendment deals with an enforcement provision. We are talking about two completely different areas. Finally and most importantly, the tanks and chemicals we are dealing with at the present time are presently going unchecked in notifying the local volunteer