

April 3, 1985

LB 496

CLERK: 1122 is the page, Senator.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes. Yes, I wish to withdraw them.

SPEAKER NICHOL: They are withdrawn.

CLERK: All right, Mr. President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Hoagland. This is on 1137, Senator.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, sir, I wish to withdraw that, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay, those are withdrawn.

CLERK: The next amendment I have...Senator Beutler has an amendment to the bill on page 1261, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this is an amendment that simply seeks to tighten up a little bit the definition of motor vehicle. It is actually in response to Senator Carsten's inquiry. And he was absolutely right, the definition of motor vehicle that is in the bill and which was brought to my attention by the Haberman amendment, as you may recall, I changed the Haberman amendment so that it comported with the rest of the bill and now I think we have to further make the rest of the bill comport to at least some existing definition of motor vehicle. So what my amendment does is basically say that the definition of motor vehicle that we will be using in this bill will be the same one that is contained in Section 39-6171 of the statutes. Okay, that section is the section of law that basically says that certain new motor vehicles after a particular year, except specified items, taxicabs, buses, motorcycles, and mopeds now, to state a few, it says that these new manufactured products that are sold and operated in this state have to be equipped with two front safety belts. So, in other words, this is the statute that we put in place earlier saying what kinds of vehicles have to have safety belts built into them. And now with this amendment we are saying that these same vehicles that have to have safety belts built into them are the ones that are going to be the subject of LB 496. I would move the adoption of the amendment.