

March 14, 1985

LB 606

the Secretary of State's office, or is it going to the UCC cash fund budget? And is the \$25,000 general fund coming out of the Secretary of State's office or coming out of those cash funds?

SENATOR DECAMP: You know something, I don't have the exact answer to that. Why don't I get it.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I don't think I need to hold up the bill. We are talking about a relatively small amount of money but we will check with the fiscal office and see what...

SENATOR DECAMP: As I say, the only information I have absolutely, it generates \$75,000 more than it costs, for whatever that is worth.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Okay.

SENATOR DECAMP: 85, I guess.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on LB 606? Senator Hoagland, do you wish to say something?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I wonder, Senator DeCamp, would you respond to another question? In connection with another bill, Senator DeCamp, George Buglewicz, the Assessor and Register of Deeds in Douglas County raised a question as to why the social security number and federal tax identification number is necessary in county filings. I notice that Section 2 of the bill requires that in filings with the Secretary of State and I wonder why that is necessary?

SENATOR DECAMP: Easier, much easier to locate documents with the ID numbers. It's a computer.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: You are not concerned about any privacy infringements or anything of that sort?

SENATOR DECAMP: No.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Thank you. Nothing further.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vard Johnson, do you wish another crack here? Senator Hannibal, any more? Is there further discussion on LB 606? If not, the motion is to advance the