

March 7, 1985

LB 47

Legislative Journal.) 26 ayes, 14 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted. The call of the house is raised. Do we have further amendments?

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Beutler. This is Request 281, Senator.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this change is on page 7. It is striking the new matter in lines 1 and 2. Basically, it is to make a distinction between title insurance transactions and abstracting transactions. It has been agreed to by the sponsors of the bill and there is no controversy on this one. I would ask the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp, do you wish to speak on this amendment?

SENATOR DECAMP: Well, yes, I did not think it had been agreed to and rather than adopt the amendment I would like to ask to pass over it until we can...until I can check it because the language in there in the original amendment I saw, this is really the heart of this piece of legislation and I think gets into the battle between "the title insurance companies maybe and the abstracters" and who can do what. I know that last amendment you adopted, for example, certain lawyers who are in the title insurance business would not have been allowed to be on the board but after that amendment was adopted those lawyers, particularly somebody knowledgeable in real estate which, obviously, is title insurance business, would be allowed to be on the board. So before I would endorse or support the amendment, I would like to find out just exactly whether the sides have agreed on this knew and limited amendment because I am receiving contradictory signals, quite frankly. So would anybody object to passing over it momentarily?

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I have the word of the sponsors so I have no objections to passing over it to clarify it.