

opposed ideas, it is abundantly clear we should be spelling out not only the date this applies but what happens subsequent. Otherwise, you are going to get into another court test, more litigation, and the whole thing on here on doing anything on property taxes or anything else I think is going to bog down. Everybody is in agreement on one thing. Despite the differing views, we are all in agreement on one thing. The one thing we are in agreement on is that we are supposedly going to get additional legislation passed and spell out what happens in 1986 and the future. So my suggestion, Senator Beutler, would be that you withdraw your amendment and offer a substitute one, or somebody do it, and that is that it says for 1985 and after 1985 it shall be valued the same as all other property and then there is no question. Every agricultural representative in here and everybody else is going to have to face up and get the balance of this thing done yet this year. They are going to have to deal with it rather than rely on the come, so to speak, or betting on the vague future. So I would suggest you change that or get that spelled out and I hate to see the bill delayed because I was the one, as you know, that wanted a special session to get this all settled before we ever met here for that very problem, but with three differing views on what the bill does or what the future is going to be, and I think so important, I think we had better get it clarified now, take the extra day or two days rather than have another round robin of court cases and everything else.

PRESIDENT: (Gavel.) May we have order please. The Chair recognizes Senator Rogers.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. President, colleagues, I am not an attorney, far from it, but I serve on this task force with Senator Landis. I have all the confidence in the world that we are going to come out with something to present to the Revenue Committee, and I also have a lot of confidence that we are going to pass something this session. So I would like to see us go ahead and vote this amendment down and go ahead and deal with the work of the day, because if we continue to waste time with this, we may not get it out but I think the intent was there and I think the committee, the task force will come out with something that will solve the problem, and I would like to see us get on with the business of the day. Thank you.