

in that district or run for the board, because they are in that service area of that district, they have to notify that district 15 days prior to July 1 so that district, in fact, has time then to notify the Secretary of State's office. There has been a problem or two in some areas, I think one in particular, out in the Panhandle, where there were some hard feelings because a person did not provide their name to the district in time. It is a matter of sequence and timing. It was just a thing that was left out of the bill in 1982 that allowed them to vote. It's a rather simple concept. I urge its advancement, and would be happy to answer any questions. It can be rather confusing, but it is merely a mechanical, clean-up type thing to a bill that we passed three years ago. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on LB 96? If not, the motion is to advance the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. We are voting on the advancement of LB 96. Please record your vote. Have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President. (See page 455 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: By that vote the bill is advanced. LB 193. Is Senator DeCamp in the Chamber? Oh, very well, Senator Schmit.

CLERK: (Read title.) The bill was first read on January 15 of this year. It was referred to the Public Works Committee for public hearing. The bill was advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is Senator DeCamp's bill and he is absent today, so I agreed that I would try to handle it for him. The U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that local public entities are not immune from federal antitrust jurisdiction. But they said that the court...the court said that the states could provide such immunity to those entities if we so chose.