

March 28, 1984

LB 561

be adequate, I think one can see the virtue in greater accessibility of this information and knowledge and that people who will be in the screening situation, as optometrists often are, if you believe that they will be as likely to spot that and to know it, there is a virtue in them being able to use steroids. In the event they are going to miss it, people are going to suffer very serious consequences and it depends I think on how adequate you think the training is. If the training is adequate, you probably have a better screening process than what is out there now. If the training is inadequate in the use of steroids, you have a potentially scarring and significant potential for loss of eyesight. I am going to, at this point, without an on site sort of review of the training, I am inclined to go with Senator Hoagland. With a better mechanism of inspection of the schools and a greater assurance of their adequacy in the formation, I would be against the Hoagland amendment. The failure of these interest groups to arrive at a reasonable mechanism for inspection makes me more inclined to support the Hoagland amendment than otherwise and the outcome of the inspection issue relates very directly as far as I am concerned to the outcome of the Hoagland amendment.

SENATOR KAHLE: Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Mr. President, members of the body, I have a question for Senator Von Minden.

SENATOR KAHLE: Senator Von Minden.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: You didn't know you were in this conversation, did you?

SENATOR VON MINDEN: I'm not in the (inaudible).

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Senator Von Minden, you have a legislative matter before us, don't you, dealing with public disclosure, reprimands for judges I believe?

SENATOR VON MINDEN: Yes, I do.