

March 27, 1984

LB 852

you are saying with your amendment? And if it is, I am going to object to it because we do this annually to take care of a small area in our area that goes into a normal draining ditch or waterway or whatever, goes on down to the regular discharge of water off of our land.

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Carsten, in response to your question, yes, this is correct. You would have to publish a notice in a countywide publication that you intend to tile. The reason I have this in the bill is because it would alert the other landowners that they may have a problem, and in alerting the other landowners, maybe you would be able to sit around a table and talk about how it would affect them and maybe they would want to join you in tiling until you got to that open stream.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Most of our tiling goes into a stream, not onto our neighbors land, but as I understand your amendment whether it does or whether it doesn't, I have still got to put that notice out 30 days ahead.

SENATOR HEFNER: This is correct in a countywide publication.

SENATOR CARSTEN: And I personally think that is going to put an imposition on our area in the state and I cannot accept that even on the basis which you present it. I think that is going to be a real burden to us. I am going to vote against the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Kahle, did you wish to speak again on this?

SENATOR KAHLE: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I don't know how you are going to please everybody as Senator Vickers wants it tighter, Senator Carsten wants it looser. Having been involved with drainage almost all my life, and not with tiling, I feel a little bit inadequate, but I can see where a problem could arise where if you put in tiling and the first original bill mentioned just running water into a draw and a draw in my estimation is a low spot in a field where you can usually farm without any problems, and I think what