

March 26, 1984

LB 1027

realistic number which would give the depositors a fair chance to regain their investments. I would hope that you would advance the bill today. I would even adopt the DeCamp amendment on the knowledge that we might come back and make an adjustment in it at a later time. I think it is important, it is absolutely important that we get the maximum amount for this right of entry but it should not be so high, Senator DeCamp, that we have in all effect passed a nothing bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Thank you very much. I'd just like to say one more thing. I believe that with the \$1 million figure, and I would like to ask Senator Johnson, I believe the Department of Banking and the Banking Director has some discretion as to requirements that they might impose that might benefit the depositors also, do they not?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Absolutely.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Thank you very much, Senator Johnson. So the \$1 million and the \$10 million figure is not an absolute figure insofar as what the right of entry is worth. The Director of Banking still has some discretion and that might be used to the benefit of the depositors.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, we are on the DeCamp amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, may I ask a question of Senator DeCamp before, please? Senator DeCamp, you say the...who is going to decide that this \$10 million shall be dispersed, the State Tort Claims Board?

SENATOR DECAMP: First of all, that would be up to the normal tort claims procedure. That money may never be distributed. Well, you asked the question. I am trying to give you the answer. It can't be distributed unless there is a legitimate claim that is approved.

10690