

March 21, 1984

LB 908

Wagner. In reading the amendment which is now really the bill, subsection 5 on page 7 provides as follows: "Notwithstanding any other provisions of this section, all negotiated agreements relative to boundaries or to real or personal property of school districts reached by the affected boards of education shall be valid and binding." I guess the question I have, Senator Goodrich, is for how long a period of time are those agreements to be valid and binding? For instance, if a city does some annexing and the school boards negotiate an agreement and the agreement alters the boundary of a Class III school district to continue to be co-terminus with the new boundary of the city, is that agreement valid and binding notwithstanding subsequent annexations by the city?

SENATOR GOODRICH: It is valid and binding until such time as they get together with a new agreement.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: All right, so if the city was to annex again, then I take it that the two school boards would be put back in the same position of renegotiating. Is that correct?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Absolutely.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Okay.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Following the criteria that it established.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wagner, did you wish to speak too?

SENATOR WAGNER: Yes, one more time, Mr. Speaker. Since I put considerable work in this as other people, I would like to say I would like to add my name to this and I will put a motion up there to add my name to the bill but there might be some other Senators in here that might like to do the same thing so I would just call that to their attention. Thank you.