

reprimanded or censured or beating my wife. Well, Marge, you amaze me. I can't quite figure out where you are coming from. I will say to you, Marge, one thing about the people, the Senators here, if they do something that people don't like, in four years they can vote them out. Not so with the judges. I might say what Senator Peter Hoagland said a little while ago. It is real nice to be trained to be an attorney and talk around and around and around and around what he was saying but it is so nice to have another lawman that is trained in this as Senator Chambers who got up and kind of made a sham of what Senator Hoagland was trying to get you to believe. I want you to make special notice of the people who talked against the bill. Peter Hoagland, Chris Abboud, Senator Johnson...give me a minute here, I want to read something. This came out of the Lincoln Journal. "We think it working level, human nature reality that lawyers will not go against the wishes of the judges. Indeed, lawyers are known as officers of the court and the wishes of the Supreme Court if pressed ultimately are going to fulfill subordinate judges or attorneys down the line." Need I say more of those people who spoke against the bill? Another...Senator Pirsch says continue the paragraph. "Another reality is that of the ten members of the Judicial Disciplinary Commission seven are either judges or lawyers." I say to you, Senators, do we want what the people of Nebraska want or do we want what the lawyers and the judges want here? One thing the lawyers did and they are trained so beautifully doing that and I admire their being able to get up and have the gift of gab and the training to do that, but they talked around and around and around and around and around and around one of the main important issues. How are we going to have the knowledge to retain a judge every six years if we don't have something like this? I ask you, Senators, do you want what the people of Nebraska want or do you want what the lawyers and the judges want? Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: The question before the House is the advancement of 235, constitutional amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Clark voting yes.