

March 1, 1984

LR 2

"forfeited" and "confiscated" mean.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I will tell you what I would like to do, Senator Chambers and Senator Goodrich, is to look up at the federal statutes and see what their language is because it has been in federal law, of course, for a long time and also the Florida statutes and see what was used.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Right. I think that is a proper way of treating it if Senator Chambers would agree to that, to treating it just the way the feds do as far as the word "confiscated" is concerned. Now, Senator Chambers, before you answer that, I want to make that one more distinction there that my interpretation of "confiscated" is that the court has found in looking at the assets, whatever they are, that this is part of the illegal activity and is hereby confiscated, taken from him in due process. Consequently, there is no confiscation unless the court concurs that it is part of the illegal activity. Is that your interpretation of confiscated?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. No, Senator Goodrich, my understanding is that once law enforcement officers take something from a person if it is to be used as evidence, that is a confiscation. The person no longer has legal authority or control of whatever has been taken by the officers because they have confiscated it. But to give a word of caution, remember, we are dealing with the Constitution of the State of Nebraska, not a statutory provision. At the federal level, their statute is not in the federal Constitution. If there is a statutory provision in Florida, it is not their Constitution. So I think we should not incorporate into the Constitution of Nebraska statutory provisions from other jurisdictions when those jurisdictions can change those provisions by a vote of the Legislature. If you put something in the Constitution and you find out that it does not do what you thought it would do, the Legislature cannot change that as they can do in Florida or as Congress can do at the federal level. We would have to go through the process of amending the Constitution and if we could not get that amendment, then we would have a proposition that future generations would say