

March 1, 1984

LR 2

counties. Even if the warrant, even if action under the warrant were found to be illegal because money was taken that had nothing to do with the subject matter covered by the warrant, that money having been confiscated would still belong to the counties. If you are going to give the Legislature under this proposition the authority to enact appropriate legislation to carry out the provisions, I think you have tightened it enough by saying, fifty percent of all money "forfeited". They should indicate that the word "forfeited" would mean that there had been a completed legal action on this matter or growing out of the transaction that led to some money being taken. But to simply say that in pursuit of enforcement of drug laws, money is confiscated or taken into custody by the officers will automatically go to the counties, fifty percent of it and the other fifty percent to the schools, I think is not appropriate. Oh, you don't object? But anyway that is my position. I will see what others have to say on it.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President and members of the body, I think there is a distinction between "forfeited" and "confiscated". And I would say, for example, that if something is forfeited by the party arrested, that is one thing because there is no...he is not making a claim on it. If, for example, it is confiscated though that as a result...that is as a result of the court finding that that is a proper confiscation or a taking from that party arrested that which is in question, the assets or whatever they are are in question. I would actually suggest and I would yield...or let me ask Senator Pirsch a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Pirsch, you are on deck for a question here.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes.

SENATOR GOODRICH: It is my understanding that you are agreeable to laying this over, not taking up this amendment right now, but laying it over until we can get a conference going to find out just what the distinction of the terms