

February 10, 1984

LB 820

SENATOR SIECK: And you, you feel that the one bond would actually cut the cost of the individual retailer and, and...

SENATOR HEFNER: Well, yes. A bond cost approximately \$25 or \$30 and so this way he would save, if he had two licenses he would save one of these fees. I think it's only fair and just.

SENATOR SIECK: Yes and it doesn't really cause any difficulties with the operation of that particular...

SENATOR HEFNER: No, if we'd have problems, we'd still have that one \$2,000 bond there and more than likely that would be more than ample to take care of the cost of the hearing and the transcription of the hearing.

SENATOR SIECK: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President. Would Senator Hefner yield to a question?

PRESIDENT: I'm sure he will.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Senator Hefner, what is the purpose of a liquor bond?

SENATOR HEFNER: The purpose of a liquor bond is if this liquor license is revoked, then they have a public hearing on it and the liquor license holder refuses to pay the cost of that hearing, then the Liquor Control Commission could have the bonding company pay it.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Okay, thank you, Senator.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on LB 820? If not, all those in favor of advancing LB 820, vote aye. Opposed, vote no. We are voting on the advancement of LB 820. Please record your vote. Voting on the advancement of LB 820. Clerk will record the vote.