

January 16, 1984

LB 377

consent to do that. With that, I would end this discussion, Mr. Speaker, and I am sorry in a way to have brought it up but I think there is something a little different going on here now.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: You know, Chris, that is an interesting situation when you bring up an issue and then you say now I have had my say on it and I wish it would go away before anybody else has their say. I would like to just add a little something, a little perspective of it, if you will, to the whole question of whether or not this Legislature ought to recognize basic courtesy on legislation, and I say that because basic courtesy, I think, includes allowing an introducer to have some control over a bill. If you look at our rule book you will see that introducers' amendments on General File have precedence, that introducers' amendments have precedence, that the introducer has the right to manage legislation. Now I think that is reasonable. It has developed out of a long period of time as we have watched the rules, and what has developed is simply this, we recognize that there are some very agile people on the floor of the Legislature. Normally Senator Chambers is one of those agile people who...and Senator DeCamp obviously is agile and the list could go on but those agile people know when and where and how to amend things and we recognize that there were some agile people early on who would amend the bill and put in shape and the introducer could just not live with it and so we recognize that the first amendments up frequently ought to be the introducer's own amendment and our rules have a number of areas in there and we have also out of precedence recognized the courtesy that a introducer could ask that a bill be laid over, ask that a bill not be brought up. I think those are not only reasonable precedents but they in fact oftentimes expedite the process. Now to say that we are going to on a sometimes basis recognize courtesy and that the introducer ought to have some ability to control the bill, and then another time say that it isn't necessarily what I want to see when they in fact use that, I think that really creates some problems for us. So in this regard, I think that the Speaker was correct. I think that the Speaker implemented what I think is common courtesy and past practice which has to be of some