

it doesn't show the emergency clause. But let's talk about a practical thing. We are making true mountains out of mole hills which seems to be a rare ability of my very good friend Senator Chambers, and if anybody in this body wants to start tearing apart the place, all they do on any bill up there now is ask unanimous consent to withdraw my name from LB such and such. If this policy is followed we can go home and come back in about August and start over. The Constitution doesn't require the names as part of the bill. Like they say, that is a little fluff, a little courtesy. Senator Chambers name will not be on this bill. Senator Chambers who has given more speeches on this floor about what lowlifes we are for not repealing the sales tax on food, his name will not be on the bill that repeals the sales tax on food should it pass, because that is the way it is processed and handled. But, if you decide we are going to run after every little thing like this where somebody can find a way to disrupt the body, then the body is dead. To a significant degree we have to function as a team working together, particularly on the procedural matters, whether we like it or not. Whether one of us happens to be in agreement or disagreement on a particular issue or whether we happen to love or hate Senator so and so at the particular moment because he beat us or whatever, we better realize that running off on these kind of tangents can take that board there and eliminate it. I guess there are a hundred bills to be processed there. I would ask unanimous consent to withdraw my name, you get the idea? You are being sent on a goose chase and the whole substance of the issue is being lost and I don't care whether you vote for or against the particular bill, but don't fall for this kind of stuff at this particular moment. It is not to anybody's benefit including Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, as I understand it a motion was filed to return to Select File, that has been amended now to return it to E & R for Engrossment for the correction of an error. The process of the Legislature clearly allows for errors and what we have here is simply a ministerial oversight because I think Senator Chambers is correct, his motion was duly made and ordered by the Chair and for that reason his name should not and I would argue does not in fact, for the purposes of our Constitutional requirements, now serve as a part of LB 363. The fact that it does appear on the original Final Reading copy that you had in your books until there was an acknowledgment of that error this morning, I think it does not alter