

one legislative day, abolish the rule. If you think the Constitution doesn't require it, abolish it. And for Senator Vard Johnson, if the only rules we have to go by are those things specifically spelled out in the Constitution, the vast majority of what is in this book can be thrown away. The vast majority of what is in the statutes can be thrown away because the document known as the Constitution gives the bare bones of what serves as a guideline for these other legislative activities. Now you have a rule in your rule book on page 41 that talks about Final Reading motions. It says, "To recommit the bill to Enrollment and Review to correct an error and for re-engrossment". If what we are talking about is an error, let it go back to E & R for re-engrossment, but obviously those things are not going to be done. The rules are not even going to be suspended. You are going to tell the Clerk, read one bill and then between the time you read it and it is passed, erase something off of it and give that to the governor or type a new page. Well you do it with my name today, fine. But, what then becomes so unsubstantial that it can be corrected at the Clerk's desk and doesn't have to be corrected in the traditional fashion. Is it the number of the bill, a misnumbering, misspelled words in the title, a word that should have been in the title but that was left out, then you tell the Clerk, erase enough space and type in what ought to have been in the title. What do you have rules saying send things back to E & R for? There have been court cases that talk about the way bills are corrected. But if you are satisfied with this, you say that you have corrected it, I think you have not, I want my motion instead of saying to Select File for a specific amendment to say, and this is the rule that ~~it~~ is pursuant to, Rule 6, Section 8A, my motion is to recommit this bill to E & R to correct an error and for re-engrossment. That is what my motion is.

PRESIDENT: All right, on Senator Chambers motion we have Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, I would like to talk to the body for just a minute about some practical problems. This bill was at the printers for engrossment on the 9th. On the 10th in the waning moments or as is so often done by all of us when the Clerk reads things in, the Clerk read, like he did for a number of others, ask unanimous consent to add or withdraw, in this case, ask unanimous consent to withdraw my name, read into the record, officially, nobody objects, in fact I don't recall an objection except a couple of years ago. The official record, the official record of this bill will not show Senator Chambers name on that bill, just like