

May 4, 1983

LR 70, 102  
LB 18, 18A, 209, 524, 610, 628, 630

Safety Week is designated September 4th through the 10th, now therefore be it resolved that the Legislature supports the efforts of the Pedestrian Safety Committee of Nebraska. That will be laid over. LR 102 by Senator Fowler calls for a study of the feasibility of extending public radio service across the state. (See pages 1960 and 1961 of the Legislative Journal.) Senator Vickers and Pappas to print amendments to LB 628; Senators Vickers and Pappas to LB 630. (See page 1962 of the Journal.) Enrollment and Review reports 18 correctly engrossed, 18A, 524, 610 all correctly engrossed. I have notice of hearing from the Revenue Committee on LR 70, Mr. President.

Mr. President, Senator Vickers would move to return LB 209 to Select File for a specific amendment. (Read the Vickers amendment as found on page 1963 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I believe there is a little bit of middle ground here perhaps that we could go to. I think I understand some of the concerns that Senator Chambers raised and agree with some of them. I don't think we should treat everybody the same, but by the same token I think I understand some of the concerns that Senator Goodrich is raising. I think the school boards do have a problem. Now with the language on page 2 of the pink copy of the bill, the new language, I believe that the second part from the word "expulsion" on line 18 on down tries to give the school board some ability to look at individual circumstances but I don't think it is spelled out quite clear enough, especially when you read the language in the lines 16 through 18, the sentence that says, any such rule shall be binding on all students, school officials, board members and hearing examiners. So what I am suggesting is right after that word "examiners" to include in that sentence so that it would read, any such rule shall be binding on all students, school officials, board members and hearing examiners except to the extent that compelling and mitigating circumstances exist which would make such rule unjust, so that it would not be as binding as that particular sentence seems to me to read as it applies to these various penalties that could be applied to these students no matter what type of action they may have taken. So I am suggesting that we bring this bill back for this particular amendment to try to clarify what I think is probably the intention of Senator Goodrich with the language that he has got, but also I believe it would help to meet some of the concerns that